

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/029,698	12/18/2001		Nicholas J. Heaton	20.2786 3240	
23718	7590	05/28/2004		EXAM	INER
SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES				VARGAS, DIXOMARA	
200 GILLIN	GHAM L	ANE			
MD 200-9				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUGAR LA	ND, TX	77478		2859	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
Office Assistant Communication	10/029,698	HEATON ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Dixomara Vargas	2859					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2002 and 14 O</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepte	ed or b) objected to by the					
Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	` ''						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom, ppiloditori (i 10-102)					

Application/Control Number: 10/029,698 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-23, 25-29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coates et al. (US 5,696,448 A) in view of Prammer et al. (US 5,936,405 A).

With respect to claims 1, 9 and 18, Coates discloses a method for determining a molecular property of each constituent in a mixture of hydrocarbons in a portion of earth formation surrounding a borehole comprising (Abstract): generating a static magnetic field in a portion of the earth formation surrounding a borehole; producing an RF magnetic field in the

Application/Control Number: 10/029,698 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

portion of the earth formation (Column 5, lines 3-9); measuring NMR signals from the portion of the earth formation (Column 5, lines 52-67); deriving at least one dynamic parameter to each constituent in the mixture from NMR signals (Abstract) and calculating at least one molecular property for the mixture from the at least one dynamic parameter for each constituent (Column 14, lines 11-17).

In addition, Coats discloses the invention as stated above except for specifying the step wherein the molecular property calculated is selected from the list of molecular size distribution, molecular weight distribution and carbon number distribution. However, Prammer discloses the step of calculating molecular dynamics, for example, molecular size (Column 2, lines 13-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Prammer's teachings about the calculation of the molecular size with Coats's method for determining a molecular property of each constituent in a mixture of hydrocarbons in a portion of earth formation surrounding a borehole for the purpose of identifying the type of sample dependent on the measurement parameters of T1, T2 and diffusion.

- 4. With respect to claims 2, 10 and 19, Coates discloses generating a model that includes a plurality of components for the constituents of the mixture and iteratively modifying the model components to optimize the model with respect to the NMR data (Column 3, lines 21-23).
- 5. With respect to claims 3, 11 and 20, Coates discloses the dynamic parameter comprises one selected from a longitudinal relaxation time, a transverse relaxation time, a ratio of longitudinal and transverse relaxation times and diffusion rate (Column 3, lines 24-38).
- 6. With respect to claims 4 and 12, Coates discloses the mixture of hydrocarbons is disposed in a geological formation (Column 3, lines 16-20).

- 7. With respect to claims 5, 13, 21 and 27, Coates discloses correlating the at least one dynamic parameter of the each constituent with effective viscosity f each constituent (Column 3, lines 16-20).
- 8. With respect to claims 6, 14, 22, 28 and 31, Coates discloses deriving empirical parameters from a suite of hydrocarbon samples (Column 3, lines 16-20).
- 9. With respect to claims 7, 15, 23 and 29, Coates discloses the suite of hydrocarbon samples comprises crude oils (Column 14, lines 11-17).
- 10. With respect to claims 17 and 25, Coates discloses using one tool selected from a wireline NMR tool, a logging while drilling NMR tool, and a modular formation dynamics tester or a laboratory NMR instrument (Figures 1-4).
- 11. With respect to claim 26, see rejection of claims 1 and 3 above in paragraphs 3 and 5.
- 12. Claims 8, 16, 24 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coates et al. (US 5,696,448 A) and Prammer et al. (US 5,936,405 A) in view of Tutunji et al. (US 6,337,568 B1).

With respect to claims 8, 16, 24 and 30, Coates and Prammer disclose the claimed invention as stated above in claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-23, 25-29 paragraphs 3-11 except for the step of using a neural network. However, Tutunji discloses the use of the neural network (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Tutunji's neural network with Coates and Prammer's NMR logging system for performing the method of determining the molecular property of the hydrocarbons for the

Application/Control Number: 10/029,698 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

purpose of further enhancing the resolution of a particular log measurement since it can be used to generate finer resolution data by the computer simulation that produces output based on available log measurements, human knowledge, and other factors.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-31 as amended under RCE have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional prior art cited in the PTO 892 discloses MR systems with molecular properties been calculated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,698

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859

May 26, 2004

Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 6

Technology Center 2800